PAGE Reg for hecon Patent). Byc 2/4/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

CARLE, HANSON

Group Art Unit: 3738

Filed:

Serial No.: 08/903,677

July 31, 1997

Examiner: Dinh Nguyen

FAX RECEIVED

For: METHOD OF TREATING ANGINA

FFB 04 2002

To Fax No.: 703-872-9303 eroby certify that this o

GROUP 3700

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed January 8, 2002, the applicant submits the following remarks.

In the Office Action, the Examiner has made the same rejections that were made prior to the Decision of the Board. It does not appear that the Examiner has considered the substance of the Board's Decision or the Amendment that was filed on August 1, 2001. In applicant's Petition for Revival of the application, applicant indicated that the reply to the Decision before the Board included the August 1 Amendment, as well as the Request for Continuing Prosecution. If the Examiner read the Decision of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, it should have been clear that the Examiner was reversed on all issues except for the enablement rejection. Applicant's amendment to the specification in their August 1 document clearly eliminated any issues with respect to this final issue. Accordingly, there are now no remaining issues in this case and therefore it should be passed to allowance.

Instead of doing so, however, the Examiner has merely restated the rejections that were clearly reversed on appeal and has failed to give any consideration to applicant's August 1 Amendment. In the second sentence of the Office Action, the Examiner states that "[t]he

3

USSN: 08/903,677

response does not include any changes to the specification nor any amendment to the claims." This is clearly incorrect because applicant filed an Amendment on August 1, 2001 and applicant's Petition for Revival stated that the reply included that Amendment. Therefore, this Amendment should be considered by the Examiner and entered into the file. Further, the finality of the Office Action should be withdrawn, and the Examiner should withdraw the remaining rejections in view of the Board's decision.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments concerning this communication, please contact applicant's attorney at the number provided below.

Registration Number	Telephone Number
32,900	651-736-7776
Date 2/01/02	

Respectfully submitted,

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company P.O. Box 33427 St. Paul, Minnesota 55133-3427

Facsimile: (651) 736-3833

FAX RECEIVE FEB 04 2002 **GROUP 370**(

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company

3M Center, PO Box 33427 St. Paul, MN 55133-3427 USA

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSON OR ENTITY NAMED BELOW.

If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, use, disclose, distribute or copy this transmission.

If this transmission was received in error, please immediately notify Sue Dacko by telephone directly at (651) 736-4638 and we will arrange for its return at no cost to you. Thank you.

FACSIMILE

Date: February 1, 2002

Number of pages

including cover sheet: 3

To:

Technology Center 3700

USPTO

Your Ref: 08/903,677

Phone:

Fax No. 703-872-9303

From:

Karl G. Hanson

Our Ref:

Phone: (651) 736-7776 Fax No. (651) 736-3833

Remarks:

Attached is a Response.